

# DOMINION STRATEGIES

Vol. II, No. 8

© Gary North, 1986

August, 1986

i'm aware that most **Reconstructionists** hold to a Biblical perspective in covenant **theology**. . . i haven't yet come across a book that deals with it specifically and in **detail**.

Amazing though it may seem, after four centuries of **Reformed theology**, there still is **no adequate book on the topic**, but there will be soon. Ray Sutton's book, *That You May Prosper: Dominion By Covenant*, will be published later this year, and **should** become the standard account from the day of its publication.

He has "broken the code" of the **Biblical** covenant. I summarize his findings in the Preface to *The Sinai Strategy*. This structure is found in the Book of Deuteronomy. it governs the structure of the Book of Revelation, as David **Chilton's** commentary **will** prove when it also appears later this year.

The covenant structure is as follows:

1. Transcendence/immanence (redemption)
2. Hierarchy/authority (submission)
3. Ethics/dominion (stipulations)
4. **Judicial/evaluational** (sanctions)
5. Legitimacy/inheritance (continuity)

it affects every aspect of Biblical theology. Sutton's book will begin to apply it in practice.

**[A long question about declaring bankruptcy to the IRS because of an IRS-attacked tax scheme that he got involved in promoting by mistake. The man who hired him survived the IRS, kept \$6 million, and is now counter-suing. Meanwhiie, his hapiess agent is being ordered to pay \$279,000 in taxes, penalties, and interest.] I feel I should pay my debts, but feel, in this case these are unfair, unconstitutional, and immoral.**

The whoie IRS code is unfair, unconstitutional, and **im-moral**. There is now little doubt that the 16th amendment was never ratified legally, even though the Federal government said that it had been. There are several detailed books that demonstrate the corruption involved in declaring it ratified. The IRS **nevertheless** does its best to make everyone pay. To keep their freedom, their stomachs, and their wives' sanity, most Christians pay up.

The point is, you can't deiciare bankruptcy to the IRS. All you can do is settle with them. I know of cases where they have settled at ten cents on the dollar. If it is worth buying your freedom for \$30,000, make them an offer.

You could **protest**, of course, and live under a cloud for the rest of **your** life, or the life of **the** IRS, whichever expires first. They could also send you to jail. AIDS being what it is, i would try to avoid jail.

**Would you please comment on [Constance] Cumbey's evidences about conspiracy. Between your materials,**

**Cumbey's, and Des Griffen, i'm exhausted wondering how I – a single parent of three– one with a handicap– can survive.**

Well, one good way is to stop reading books about conspiracies. Read the Bible instead. Read Isaiah 8:

Do not say, "A conspiracy; concerning all that this people **call** a conspiracy, nor be afraid of their threats, nor be troubled. The Lord of hosts, Him **shall** you hal-low; let Him be your fear (w. 12-13).

Then, if you are still determined to indulge yourself in something that bothers you, read my book, *Conspiracy: A Biblical View*, it has just been released by Crossway Books, and should be available at your local Christian book store.

**Given the current crisis in the banking system and the potential for collapse at any time, what would be the most prudent approach for pastore to take to protect their assets which are currently deposited in banks?**

The first step is to thank God for making them pastors, so that they have so few assets to put into banks.

Second, the banks are safe–the dollar isn't. The banks aren't going to be allowed to go belly-up; the dollar is.

Third, use the money to pay off existing debts. If you have no debts, then buy some gold coins with some of the money, and leave the rest in the bank.

Fourth, best of ail, use the money to begin a **family-run** business on the side. Become a tentmaker (Acts 18:3).

**Could you spare a little space in your *Dominion Strategies* for to give your evaluation of the "Good News" movement in the United Methodist Church . . . ?**

i was completely unaware that there is any good news in the United Methodist Church. Last month, they threw "On-ward, Christian Soldiers" out of their hymnal, because it seems too militaristic for them.

**I have just received my first issue of *Dominion Strategies*. However, i must express my disappointment and bewilderment. in Vol. II, No. 7 (July 1986), you seemingly condone interracial marriages concerning the Oriental and Saxon races. Could you please give me your explanation?**

Happy to. "We need to improve the Saxon race!"

We also need to improve the Oriental race. The way to do both is to get Christians in both races married and highly reproductive. Sometimes this may involve marriages between the two races. In any case, the idea is to dominate the earth through Biblical ethics, and covenant children are the primary means of doing this after evangelism.

Members of all races without Christ are all going to hell. Also, all races that are not increasingly marked by conversions to Christ will eventually decline in importance.

But if we're talking about two races that are generally filled today with unredeemed people, specifically the Saxons and the Orientals, let us buy our electronic equipment and our cars from the Orientals. Since we Christians intend to take dominion, let us get the best tools we can afford. Those are no longer Saxon-produced. The closer you get to Saxon roots—England—the worse the cars are.

### **What is the biblically proper way (or ways) for the government to levy taxes?**

The ways described by James Jordan in his book, *The Law of the Covenant*, Appendix D: "State Financing in the Bible." He shows that the head tax was originally not a poll tax, and it was **not** the normal way that the Hebrews financed civil government. The head tax was a payment to the priesthood prior to a military confrontation in which blood was likely to be spilled. It became a customary annual Temple tax, but the Bible did not require it.

There is a reference to a state-collected tax in Ezekiel 45:13-17. It was to be used to support the Temple. It was an ideal tax, he argues, and never really intended to be implemented.

In Nehemiah's day, a head tax to rebuild the Temple was imposed (Neh. 10:32-33). It, too, was a religious tax, not a civil tax.

In short, the Bible never specifies what kind of tax should be collected by the state. It does say that an income tax as high as ten percent is excessive (1 Sam. 8).

### **Should there be interest on money loans?**

Loans to the poor fellow believer must not impose an interest payment, but this law applied in Old Testament times both to money loans and loans of goods (Deut. 23:19). This forfeited interest is a gift required by God (but not legitimately required by the civil government).

Interest applies to all loans, inescapably. Interest is an inescapable concept, an inescapable fact of life. Interest is the discount that every acting individual applies to future goods compared to those identical goods in the present.

If you say you want to donate a dollar to ICE this month or a hundred years from now, I would prefer to get it this month. I would also prefer to get it this month rather than next month. But at some rate of interest, I would prefer to get it (plus the interest) later on.

This discounting procedure applies to all principal, whether commodity money, paper money, or just plain commodities.

I realize that there are lots of people historically who have argued against interest on non-charitable money loans. They have one thing in common: they are wrong. They are also not economists.

I seem to get this same question every month. I have decided to write a whole book on it, so that I can sell twenty-five different variations of this same answer at a profit, month by month.

### **Should civil governments be able to sell debt obligations, to pay for expenses?**

Yes, but never with maturities longer than seven years (Deut. 15).

### **If there is a run on a bank, what is to prevent a bank from giving people checks for the amount owed (I know that there wouldn't be enough currency on hand)?**

There wouldn't be enough currency on hand? What's a Federal Reserve Bank for? Why do you think the Monetary Control Act of 1980 removed the older requirement that the Federal Reserve Banks count cash in their vaults as actual currency? No longer. It is not counted until the money is actually delivered to a commercial bank. They can store all they want without reporting it.

Besides, what is a Federal Reserve Note if not a check? It promises to pay you lawful money—another Federal Reserve Note.

A Federal Reserve Note is a check. It no longer serves, however, as a check on the Federal Reserve—only a check of the Federal Reserve. Check it out.

### **What do you think of President Reagan and the "Insiders"?**

They seem to be doing well. Anyway, they aren't outsiders. We are.

In your last *Dominion Strategies*, you said that **Christian Reconstructionists want a rule by majority. FALSE. We want a Republic which is not the same as democracy. With a Republic, we need not wait for the majority to be saved; we merely elect/appoint Godly leaders.**

Let me understand this. In a Republic, "we merely elect/appoint Godly leaders." As Tonto said to the Lone Ranger when surrounded by the Indians, "What you mean we, **paleface?**" Just how do **"we"** do this without a majority of voters behind us?

What Christian **Reconstructionists** want is for God's law to be accepted by every person on earth as the only valid standard of righteousness—civil, ecclesiastical, and **familistic**. It is more likely that this will come through evangelism, and then politics—democratic means. We will have to persuade people to accept Biblical law.

So we are not a democracy. Well, then, neither is any other nation. There is no difference between a modern democracy and a modern republic, outside of a Swiss canton. There are no democracies, and haven't been in large nations. **Ever. So** why quibble over words?

Ancient Greece (demos) and ancient Rome (*res publica*) were pagan, demon-worshipping societies. They enjoyed only brief periods of limited freedom. These **pagan models were evil**. The modern world's versions of democratic rule are not tied to either of these pagan models just because of the linguistic roots of the words. They are tied to them by paganism. Goodbye freedom.

It was a mistake of the John Birch Society ever to accentuate the supposed difference between the two words. The system of modern democratic government is **representative**. What the "bad guys" worry about is not whether we serfs adopt the word "democracy" or "republic." What they want is tyranny, in the name of either democracy or republicanism, whichever we serfs prefer, since in practice in the modern world, they are the same thing. What the Darwinian planners want is tyranny, which Greek democracy produced as efficiently as Roman republicanism.

Word magic is still magic—the attempt to restructure things by changing their names. Let us play no more games with word magic, whether right wing word magic or left wing word magic.